

## Supplemental Text

for

**Adele Diamond (2024). Insights from a Career at the Border of Developmental Science and Cognitive Neuroscience. *Annual Review of Developmental Psychology*, 7.**

## Table of Contents

### Supplementary Sections

- Role of the Supplementary Motor Area (SMA) and callosal connections in the emergence of bimanual coordination in Infants
- Role of a border region partially overlapping lateral PFC and Area 6 for the ability to grasp a relation between physically separate things
- Other Unusual Properties of the Prefrontal Dopamine (DA) System that Contribute to PFC's Vulnerability to Environmental and Genetic Variations that Have Little Effect Elsewhere in the Brain
  - A. Consequences of the Relative Dearth of Dopamine Transporter in PFC for Understanding Differences among Subtypes of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)
  - B. Consequences of the higher rate of DA turnover in PFC for understanding why dietary treatment for phenylketonuria (PKU), if insufficiently rigorous, results in deficits in, and only in, Executive Functions (EFs)
- Although DA is a critically important neurotransmitter in PFC, not all cognitive functions dependent on PFC are sensitive to the level of DA in PFC

## Role of the Supplementary Motor Area (SMA) and callosal connections in the emergence of bimanual coordination in Infants

The emergence of such bimanual coordination (being able to do simultaneous, but different, movements of the two hands) is probably made possible, at least in part, by maturational developments in the SMA, pre-SMA, and in the callosal connections between the two SMAs and pre-SMAs in hemisphere's of the brain. See supplementary online material for a further discussion of this.

SMA is a brain region within frontal cortex, just posterior to dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (PFC). SMA and the pre-SMA occupy the anterior, medial portion of Brodmann's Area 6, the pre-SMA (Area 6ab) being immediately in front of SMA (Area 6aa); Kim et al., 2010; Nachev et al., 2007).

In adults results in lasting deficits when simultaneous, but different, movements of the two hands are required (Laplane, Talairach, Meininger, Bancaud, & Orgogozo, 1977; Luria, 1973). People either do the same thing with both hands or execute the movements sequentially - similar to the behavior of infants of 7½-10½ months. More recent neuroimaging ties doing different movements with the two hands simultaneously more to the pre-SMA than to the SMA proper (Obhi, Haggard, Taylor, & Pascual-Leone, 2002; Wilson, Kurz, & Arpin, 2014). Inhibitory projections via the corpus callosum are needed to stop one hand from doing the same thing as the other. That is, the default is for both hands to do the same thing; to resist that requires inhibition via the corpus callosum. For example, adults born without a corpus callosum have difficulty inhibiting one hand from doing what the other is doing (i.e., they have difficulty suppressing "associated movements;" Dennis, 1976; Takeuchi et al., 2012). The same is true of patients who undergo removal of the anterior corpus callosum (Preilowski, 1972). Thus improvements in the ability to inhibit one hand from doing what the other is doing probably is made possible in part by maturation of SMA, pre-SMA, and callosal connections between the two SMAs (Diamond, 1990; 1991). Of course, neither SMA, the pre-SMA, or the corpus callosum mature fully during infancy. Even preschoolers of 4 or 5 years find it difficult to avoid associated movements (called mirror movements or motor overflow). This is normal until children are almost 8 years old (Lazarus & Todor, 1987; Mayston, Harrison, & Stephens, 1999).

Diamond, A. (1990). Developmental time course in human infants and infant monkeys, and the neural bases, of inhibitory control in reaching. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 608, 637-676.

Diamond, A. (1991). Neuropsychological insights into the meaning of object concept development. In S. Carey & R. Gelman (Eds.), *The epigenesis of mind: Essays on biology and knowledge* (pp. 67–110). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Dennis, M. (1976). Impaired sensory and motor differentiation with corpus callosum agenesis: A lack of

callosal inhibition during ontogeny? *Neuropsychologia*, 14(4), 455-469. doi: 10.1093/neucas/2.3.183-a

Kim, J.-H., Lee, J.-M., Jo, H. J., Kim, S. H., Lee, J. H., Kim, S. T., . . . Saad, Z. S. (2010). Defining functional SMA and pre-SMA subregions in human MFC using resting state fMRI: Functional connectivity-based parcellation method. *NeuroImage*, 49(3), 2375-2386. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.10.016

Laplane, D., Talairach, J., Meininger, V., Bancaud, J., & Orgogozo, J. M. (1977). Clinical consequences of corticectomies involving the supplementary motor area in man. *Journal of Neurological Science*, 34, 310-314.

Lazarus, J. A., & Todor, J. I. (1987). Age differences in the magnitude of associated movement. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 29, 726-733.

Luria, A. R. (1973). Towards the mechanisms of naming disturbance. *Neuropsychologia*, 11, 417-442.

Nachev, P., Wydell, H., O'Neill, K., Husain, M., & Kennard, C. (2007). The role of the pre-supplementary motor area in the control of action. *NeuroImage*, 36(3), T155-T163. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.03.034

Obhi, S. S., Haggard, P., Taylor, J., & Pascual-Leone, A. (2002). rTMS to the supplementary motor area disrupts bimanual coordination. *Motor Control*, 6(4), 319-332. doi: 10.1123/mcj.6.4.319

Preilowski, B. F. B. (1972). Possible contribution of the anterior forebrain commissures to bilateral motor coordination. *Neuropsychologia*, 10(3), 267-277. doi: 10.1016/0028-3932(72)90018-8

Takeuchi, N., Oouchida, Y., & Izumi, S.-I. (2012). Motor control and neural plasticity through interhemispheric interactions. *Neural Plasticity*, 2012(823285). doi: 10.1155/2012/823285

Wilson, T. W., Kurz, M. J., & Arpin, D. J. (2014). Functional specialization within the supplementary motor area: A fNIRS study of bimanual coordination. *NeuroImage (Orlando, Fla.)*, 85(1), 445-450. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.112

Mayston, M. J., Harrison, L. M., & Stephens, J. A. (1999). A neurophysiological study of mirror movements in adults and children. *Annals of Neurology*, 45, 583-594.

## **Role of a border region partially overlapping lateral PFC and Area 6 for the ability to grasp a relation between physically separate things**

I have proposed that a border region partially overlapping lateral PFC and Area 6 (the periarcuate area in the monkey brain) is critical for the ability to grasp that physically separate things are related (Diamond, 2006). Brass and colleagues (Amunts, 2004; Brass, Derfuss, Forstmann, & von Cramon, 2005; Derfuss, Brass, Neumann, & von Cramon, 2005) independently proposed a distinct functional and anatomical region (which they called “inferior frontal junction”) located within the area I have proposed that they argue is important for learning and using abstract rules.

A great deal of early research (e.g., Crowne, Dawson, & Richardson, 1989; Petrides, 1985, 1990) documented lesions of the border area in the periarcuate, but not lesions limited to dorsolateral PFC or ventrolateral PFC proper, produce deficits in learning conditional associations (in the presence of one cue, Response X is correct but in the presence of another cue, Response Y is correct). Recently, Wallis and Miller (2003) found that more cells in this border area than in any other frontal region in the monkey encode the abstract delayed-nonmatching and delayed-matching-to-sample rules.

Like human infants, monkeys with lesions of ventrolateral PFC that extend into Area 6 have great difficulty deducing the nonmatching rule even with no delay, but once they have figured out the rule they perform well even at long delays (Bachevalier & Mishkin, 1986; Kowalska, Bachevalier, & Mishkin, 1991; Rushworth, Nixon, Eacott, & Passingham, 1997), just as human infants do (Diamond et al., 1994; Overman, 1990). As with human infants, their difficulty appears to be in acquiring the delayed non-matching to sample rule, not in remembering the sample over a delay. This pattern of performance is not seen in monkeys with other brain lesions, including lesions carefully restricted to only ventrolateral PFC. Consistent with this, neuroimaging studies in humans implicate this border area encompassing posterior ventrolateral PFC and dorsolateral PFC and anterior Area 6 (premotor cortex and pre-SMA) in delayed-nonmatching and delayed-matching-to-sample rule learning in adults (Bunge, Kahn, Wallis, Miller, & Wagner, 2003; Elliott & Dolan, 1999).

In a lovely series of experiments, Halsband and Passingham (1982, 1985) studied the ability of monkeys with lesions of this border area (lesions of premotor cortex extending into ventrolateral PFC) to learn a visual–motor conditional association: if blue cue, pull a handle; if red, turn the handle. If the cue was the color of the handle itself they succeeded. However, if the cue was the color of the panel in front of the handle (which the monkey had to displace to reach the handle) or the color of the panel behind the handle, monkeys with lesions in this border area failed. They failed even though they could make the requisite

movements and discriminate the color cues, and showed no strong preference for either movement. Whereas Halsband and Passingham focused on premotor cortex, Wang, Zhang, and Li (2000) have shown that disrupting neuronal activity in ventrolateral PFC severely impairs monkeys' ability to master a very similar task with the cue just above the handle. I would argue that the focus of both Halsband and Passingham and Wang et al. is partially correct, but that the crucial region is the transitional area that partially overlaps both premotor cortex in Area 6 and lateral PFC. I suggest this border area is crucial for rule learning (such as conditional associations) because it is necessary for something more elementary – perceiving conceptual connections in the absence of a physical connection.

Amunts, K. (2004). A receptor- and cytoarchitectonic correlate of the functionally defined inferior-frontal junction area. *NeuroImage*, 22, 50.

Bachevalier, J., & Mishkin, M. (1986). Visual recognition impairment follows ventromedial but not dorsolateral prefrontal lesions in monkeys. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 20, 249-261.

Brass, M., Derfuss, J., Forstmann, B., & von Cramon, D. Y. (2005). The role of the inferior frontal junction area in cognitive control. *Trends in Cognitive Science*, 9, 314-316.

Bunge, S. A., Kahn, I., Wallis, J. D., Miller, E. K., & Wagner, A. D. (2003). Neural circuits subserving the retrieval and maintenance of abstract rules. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 90, 3419-3428.

Crowne, D. P., Dawson, K. A., & Richardson, C. M. (1989). Unilateral periarcuate and posterior parietal lesions impair conditional position discrimination learning in the monkey. *Neuropsychologia*, 27(9), 1119-1127. doi: 10.1016/0028-3932(89)90095-X

Derfuss, J., Brass, M., Neumann, J., & von Cramon, D. Y. (2005). Involvement of the inferior frontal junction in cognitive control: Meta-analyses of switching and Stroop studies. *Human Brain Mapping*, 25, 22-34.

Diamond, A. (2006). Bootstrapping conceptual deduction using physical connection: Rethinking frontal cortex. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10, 212-218.

Diamond, A., et al. (1994). Young children's performance on a task sensitive to the memory functions of the medial temporal lobe in adults, the delayed nonmatching-to-sample task, reveals problems that are due to non-memory-related task demands. *Behavioral Neuroscience*, 108, 659-680.

Elliott, R., & Dolan, R. J. (1999). Differential neural responses during performance of matching and nonmatching to sample tasks at two delay intervals. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 19, 5066-5073.

Halsband, U., & Passingham, R. E. (1982). The role of premotor and parietal cortex in the direction of action. *Brain Research*, 240, 368-372.

Halsband, U., & Passingham, R. E. (1985). Premotor cortex and the conditions for movement in monkeys (macaca mulatta). *Behavioural Brain Research*, 18, 269-277.

Kowalska, D. M., Bachevalier, J., & Mishkin, M. (1991). The role of the inferior prefrontal convexity in

performance of delayed nonmatching-to-sample. *Neuropsychologia*, 29, 583-600.

Overman, W. H. (1990). Performance on traditional match-to-sample, nonmatch-to-sample, and object discrimination tasks by 12 to 32 month-old children: A developmental progression. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 608(1), 365-393. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1990.tb48903.x

Petrides, M. (1985). Deficits in non-spatial conditional associative learning after periarcuate lesions in the monkey. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 16, 95-101.

Petrides, M. (1990). Nonspatial conditional learning impaired in patients with unilateral frontal but not unilateral temporal lobe excisions. *Neuropsychologia*, 28, 137-149.

Petrides, M. (1995). Impairments on nonspatial self-ordered and externally ordered working memory tasks after lesions of the mid-dorsal part of the lateral frontal cortex in the monkey. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 15, 359-375.

Rushworth, M. F. S., Nixon, P. D., Eacott, M. J., & Passingham, R. E. (1997). Ventral prefrontal cortex is not essential for working memory. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 17, 4829-4838.

Wallis, J. D., & Miller, E. K. (2003). From rule to response: Neuronal processes in the premotor and prefrontal cortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 90, 1790-1806.

Wang, M., Zhang, H., & Li, B.-M. (2000). Deficit in conditional visuomotor learning by local infusion of bicuculline into the ventral prefrontal cortex in monkeys. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 12, 3787-3796.

## **Other Unusual Properties of the Prefrontal Dopamine (DA) System that Contribute to PFC's Vulnerability to Environmental and Genetic Variations that Have Little Effect Elsewhere in the Brain**

### **A. Consequences of the Relative Dearth of Dopamine Transporter in PFC for Understanding**

#### **Differences among Subtypes of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)**

PFC is most linked to the cognitive deficits in ADHD (such as inattention and poor working memory), whereas the striatum is most linked to behavioral deficits in ADHD (such as hyperactivity; Diamond, 2005). It follows that polymorphisms in the gene for dopamine transporter (the DAT1 gene) should have little effect on the cognitive problems that can plague persons with ADHD and little effect on ADHD of the inattentive type. Indeed, that is the case. For example, levels of hyperactive-impulsive symptoms are correlated with the number of DAT1 high-risk alleles but levels of inattentive symptoms are not (Waldman et al., 1998) and dopamine transporter binding is related to motor hyperactivity but not to inattentive symptoms (Jucaite, Fernell, Halldin, Forssberg, & Farde, 2005).

This is consistent with findings concerning the effective doses of ADHD medications.

Methylphenidate (MPH) is a psychostimulant widely used for treating ADHD. MPH works by “blocking reuptake,” which means it works by locking onto dopamine transporter proteins, blocking them from taking up DA to clear it, thus bringing DA levels up closer to normal (Dresel et al., 2000; Volkow, Fowler, Wang, Ding, & Gately, 2002; Volkow, Wang, Fowler, & Ding, 2005; Volkow et al., 2007). Consistent with MPH working on DAT, DAT being plentiful in the striatum, and lower DA in the striatum being linked to behavioral problems in ADHD like hyperactivity, most children with ADHD that includes hyperactivity respond positively to MPH in moderate to high doses (Barkley, 2001; Barkley, DuPaul, & McMurray, 1991; Milich, Balentine, & Lynam, 2001). On the other hand, a significant percentage of children with the inattentive subtype of ADHD are not helped by MPH or are helped at low doses. At low doses, MPH preferentially increases DA neurotransmission in PFC (Berridge et al., 2006).

In 2005, I laid out the evidence that ADHD that includes hyperactivity and ADHD that is exclusively inattentive are fundamentally different disorders, with different genetic and neural bases, cognitive profiles, responses to medication, and patterns of comorbidity (Diamond, 2005). It resonated deeply with clinicians and patients; the number of websites devoted to ADHD-inattentive sky-rocketed from four to thousands. The Founder and Head of the Dutch ADD Association, Windt, wrote, “Many people with attention deficits have great talents, often a high IQ, and are innovative and creative. However, they are seen as daydreamers who cannot concentrate well. In the old days we would be called stupid or lazy....Through [Diamond’s] work we are now able to explain to others why ADD is so different from ADHD. This question remained unanswered

until her article appeared in 2005" (Windt Van Der Berg, 2009).

## **B. Consequences of the higher rate of DA turnover in PFC for understanding why dietary treatment for phenylketonuria (PKU), if insufficiently rigorous, results in deficits in, and only in, Executive Functions (EFs)**

Another unusual property of the PFC DA system is that DA neurons in PFC have a higher baseline rate of firing and a higher rate of DA turnover than other brain regions (Bannon, Bunney, & Roth, 1981; Tam, Elsworth, Bradberry, & Roth, 1990; Thierry et al., 1977). This makes the PFC DA system uniquely sensitive to small changes in the availability of the precursor of DA, tyrosine (Tyr; Bradberry et al., 1989). Other brain regions, such as the striatum, are unaffected by small changes in the amount of available Tyr.

In the genetic disorder called phenylketonuria (PKU), the body is unable to break down the amino acid, phenylalanine (Phe), into Tyr (Woo et al., 1983). Tyr is still available directly through diet, but the important indirect route to Tyr is not functional, and the elevated levels of Phe out-compete Tyr for entry from the bloodstream into the brain (Pardridge & Oldendorf, 1977). If PKU is treated by reducing the intake of Phe in foods, the result is a reduction in the amount of Tyr reaching the brain too small to affect brain regions other than PFC. At Phe levels previously thought medically safe, we found that DA levels were lower in PFC (though not elsewhere in the brain; Diamond et al., 1994) and EF deficits were evident, while other cognitive functions were unaffected. The EF deficits were directly, inversely related to Phe level (the higher the levels of Phe in a child's bloodstream, the worse that child's EF performance; Diamond, Prevor, Callender, & Druin, 1997).

Parents and clinicians had been reporting for decades that children supposedly well-treated for PKU had EF deficits, but no one could imagine a mechanism by which a global insult to the entire brain (less Tyr crossing the blood-brain barrier) would have an effect specifically and exclusively in PFC, so the larger medical and research communities dismissed those reports. We changed things by hypothesizing, and then scientifically demonstrating, the mechanism whereby this could happen and providing detailed empirical evidence that EFs were indeed impaired (Diamond, 2001). We showed that when Phe levels were kept lower, the EF deficits were absent. Almost overnight guidelines around the world for the treatment of PKU changed to lower Phe intake (American Psychological Association, 2003).

The most wonderful part of this story is that not only could EF deficits in children with PKU now be completely prevented, but subsequent research has shown that EF deficits, even in adults with PKU, can be reversed, at least in part, by a stricter dietary regimen that brings Phe levels down further (Koch et al., 1999; Schmidt et al., 1994).

One hears a lot about how biology and biochemistry affect behavior and cognition. PKU provides a striking example of the reverse – how our behavior (what we eat) affects our biology and biochemistry. By monitoring what their babies and young children eat, parents of children with PKU can prevent gross brain damage and intellectual disability by keeping blood Phe levels < 600  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ . By keeping infants and young children on an even stricter dietary regimen that keeps average Phe levels at 120-360  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ , parents of a child with PKU can prevent EF deficits altogether. If Phe levels are too high early, or become too high later, adopting a strict dietary regimen can erase much of the cognitive impairment.

American Psychological Association (2003). Lessening PKU's Damaging Effects on Children. from

<https://www.apa.org/topics/children/pku-genetic-disorder>

Bannon, M. J., Bunney, E. B., & Roth, R. H. (1981). Mesocortical dopamine neurons: Rapid transmitter turnover compared to other brain catecholamine systems. *Brain Research*, 218(1-2), 376-382.

Barkley, R. A. (2001). The inattentive type of ADHD as a distinct disorder: What remains to be done. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 8, 489-493. doi: 10.1093/clipsy.8.4.489

Barkley, R. A., DuPaul, G. J., & McMurray, M. B. (1991). Attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity: Clinical response to three dose levels of methylphenidate. *Pediatrics*, 87(4), 519-531. doi: 10.1542/peds.87.4.519

Berridge, C. W., Devilbiss, D. M., Andrzejewski, M. E., Arnsten, A. F. T., Kelley, A. E., Schmeichel, B., . . . Spencer, R. C. (2006). Methylphenidate preferentially increases catecholamine neurotransmission within the prefrontal cortex at low doses that enhance cognitive function. *Biological Psychiatry*, 60, 1111-1120.

Bradberry, C. W., Karasic, D. H., Deutsch, A. Y., & Roth, R. H. (1989). Regionally-specific alterations in mesotelencephalic dopamine synthesis in diabetic rats: Associations with precursor tyrosine. *Journal of Neural Transmission*, 78, 221-229.

Diamond, A. (2001). A model system for studying the role of dopamine in prefrontal cortex during early development in humans. In C. Nelson & M. Luciana (Eds.), *Handbook of developmental cognitive neuroscience* (pp. 433-472). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Diamond, A. (2005). Attention-deficit disorder (attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder without hyperactivity): A neurobiologically and behaviorally distinct disorder from attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (with hyperactivity). *Development and Psychopathology*, 17, 807-825. doi: 10.1017/S0954579405050388

Diamond, A., Ciaramitaro, V., Donner, E., Djali, S., & Robinson, M. B. (1994). An animal model of early-treated PKU. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 14, 3072-3082.

Diamond, A., Prevor, M., Callender, G., & Druin, D. P. (1997). Prefrontal cortex cognitive deficits in children treated early and continuously for PKU. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 62(4), 1-207.

Dresel, S., Krause, J., Krause, K. H., LaFougere, C., Brinkbaumer, K., Kung, H. F., . . . Tatsch, K. (2000). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Binding of [99mTc]TRODAT-1 to the dopamine transporter before and after methylphenidate treatment. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 27, 1518-1524.

Jucaite, A., Fornell, E., Halldin, C., Forssberg, H., & Farde, L. (2005). Reduced midbrain dopamine transporter binding in male adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Association between striatal dopamine markers and motor hyperactivity. *Biological Psychiatry*, 57, 229-238.

Koch, R., Moseley, K., Ning, J., Romstad, A., Guldberg, P., & Guttler, F. (1999). Long-term beneficial effects of the phenylalanine-restricted diet in late-diagnosed individuals with phenylketonuria. *Molecular Genetics and Metabolism*, 67, 148-155.

Milich, R., Balentine, A. C., & Lynam, D. R. (2001). ADHD combined type and ADHD predominantly inattentive type are distinct and unrelated disorders. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 8, 463-488.

Pardridge, W. M., & Oldendorf, W. H. (1977). Transport of metabolic substrates through the blood-brain barrier. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 28, 5-12.

Schmidt, E., Rupp, A., Burgard, P., Pietz, J., Weglage, J., & de Sonneville, L. (1994). Sustained attention in adult phenylketonuria: The influence of the concurrent phenylalanine-blood-level. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 16, 681-688.

Tam, S. Y., Elsworth, J. D., Bradberry, C. W., & Roth, R. H. (1990). Mesocortical dopamine neurons: High basal firing frequency predicts tyrosine dependence of dopamine synthesis. *Journal of Neural Transmission*, 81, 97-110.

Thierry, A. M., Tassin, J. P., Blanc, A., Stinus, L., Scatton, B., & Glowinski, J. (1977). Discovery of the mesocortical dopaminergic system: Some pharmacological and functional characteristics. *Advanced Biomedical Psychopharmacology*, 16, 5-12.

Uchino, B. N., Cacioppo, J. T., & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. (1996). The relationship between social support and physiological processes: A review with emphasis on underlying mechanisms and implications for health. *Psychological Bulletin*, 119, 488-531. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.119.3.488

Volkow, N. D., Fowler, J. S., Wang, G. J., Ding, Y., & Gatley, S. J. (2002). Mechanism of action of methylphenidate: Insights from PET imaging studies. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 6, S31-S43.

Volkow, N. D., Wang, G. J., Fowler, J. S., & Ding, Y. (2005). Imaging the effects of methylphenidate on brain dopamine: New model on its therapeutic actions for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, 57, 1410-1415.

Volkow, N. D., Wang, G. J., Newcorn, J. H., Fowler, J. S., Telang, F., Solanto, M. V., . . . Pradhan, K. (2007). Brain dopamine transporter levels in treatment and drug naive adults with ADHD. *Neuroimage*, 34, 1182-1190.

Waldman, I. D., Rowe, D. C., Abramowitz, A., Kozel, S. T., Mohr, J. H., Sherman, S. L., . . . Stever, C. (1998). Association and linkage of the dopamine transporter gene and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children: Heterogeneity owing to diagnostic subtype and severity. *American Journal of*

*Human Genetics*, 63, 1767-1776. doi: 10.1086/302132

Windt Van Der Berg, K. (2009). *ADD – Hidden obstacles: Navigating the detours (ADD-Onzichtbare obstakels)*. The Netherlands: Almere Enschede.

Woo, S. L. C., Lidsky, A. S., Gütter, F., Chandra, T., & Robson, K. J. H. (1983). Cloned human phenylalanine hydroxylase gene allows prenatal diagnosis and carrier detection of classical phenylketonuria. *Nature*, 306, 151-155.

**Although DA is a critically important neurotransmitter in PFC, not all cognitive functions dependent on PFC are sensitive to the level of DA in PFC**

When we tested children with PKU, I had predicted we would find deficits on all cognitive tasks linked to PFC in children whose Phe levels were not sufficiently low. We found that for six tasks dependent on PFC (like the a-not-b, object retrieval, and day-night tasks), but we found no relation between Phe level and performance on another task dependent on PFC – self-ordered pointing (Diamond et al., 1997). I would have loved to have been able to say that self-ordered pointing does not really depend on PFC, or the same region of PFC, as the other tasks, but that is not what the data showed. Self-ordered pointing has been shown to depend specifically on dorsolateral PFC by lesion studies in monkeys (Petrides, 1995), studies of human adults with naturally occurring brain damage (Petrides & Milner, 1982; Wiegersma, Van der Scheer, & Hijman, 1990), and neuroimaging studies in intact human adults (Petrides, Alivisatos, Meyer, & Evans, 1993)

I had no idea why we had not found an effect on self-ordered pointing until a study from Robbins' lab appeared (Collins, Roberts, Dias, Everitt, & Robbins, 1998). They showed that while lesions of PFC impair self-ordered pointing, depleting PFC of DA did not impair self-ordered pointing. Remember that the mechanism we hypothesized for why EF deficits would be found in children treated for PKU with a slightly too lenient diet is that slightly too high levels of Phe block some of the Tyr from reaching the brain, causing reduced DA levels in PFC. We knew that reduced DA in PFC impairs tasks like a-not-b and delayed response (Brozoski, Brown, Rosvold, & Goldman, 1979) and we, along with everyone else, assumed that if a cognitive task depended on PFC then changes in the level of DA in PFC would affect performance on that task. Collins and colleagues taught us that that is not necessarily the case. That was a sea change. No one had considered that possibility before.

To further test that, we tested children on self-ordered pointing, the hearts and flowers task (which should be sensitive to the level of DA in PFC), a task dependent on parietal cortex, and a task dependent on the medial temporal lobe, and analyzed which variant of the COMT gene each child had (Diamond et al., 2004). Consistent with the findings of Collins et al. (1998) and our own PKU findings (Diamond et al., 1997), we found that performance on the hearts and flowers task was better in persons homozygous for COMT-Met<sup>158</sup>, but that performance on the three other tasks (including self-ordered pointing) was insensitive to COMT genotype, i.e., insensitive to the level of DA in PFC. The differential sensitivity of distinct cognitive abilities to specific neurotransmitters opens up possibilities for targeted pharmacological interventions.

Brozoski, T. J., Brown, R. M., Rosvold, H. E., & Goldman, P. S. (1979). Cognitive deficit caused by regional depletion of dopamine in prefrontal cortex of rhesus monkey. *Science*, 205, 929-932.

Collins, P., Roberts, A. C., Dias, R., Everitt, B. J., & Robbins, T. W. (1998). Perseveration and strategy in a

novel spatial self-ordered task for nonhuman primates: Effect of excitotoxic lesions and dopamine depletions of the prefrontal cortex. *Journal of cognitive neuroscience*, 10, 332-354.

Diamond, A., Prevor, M., Callender, G., & Druin, D. P. (1997). Prefrontal cortex cognitive deficits in children treated early and continuously for PKU. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 62(4), 1-207.

Diamond, A., Briand, L., Fossella, J., & Gehlbach, L. (2004). Genetic and neurochemical modulation of prefrontal cognitive functions in children. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 161, 125-132.

Petrides, M. (1995). Impairments on nonspatial self-ordered and externally ordered working memory tasks after lesions of the mid-dorsal part of the lateral frontal cortex in the monkey. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 15, 359-375.

Petrides, M., Alivisatos, B., Meyer, E., & Evans, A. C. (1993). Functional activation of the human frontal cortex during performance of verbal working memory tasks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (USA)*, 90, 878-882.

Petrides, M., & Milner, B. (1982). Deficits on subject-ordered tasks after frontal- and temporal-lobe lesions in man. *Neuropsychologia*, 20, 249-262.

Wiegersma, S., Van der Scheer, E., & Hijman, R. (1990). Subjective ordering, short-term memory, and the frontal lobes. *Neuropsychologia*, 28, 95-98.