¹ Center for Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, UMass Medical School, Eunice Kennedy Shriver Center Campus, 200 Trapelo Rd., Waltham, MA 02452 ³ Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA ² Brandeis University, Waltham, MA ⁴ Ben Gurion University, Beer Sheva, Israel





How does the ability of young children to switch tasks compare with that of adults?

Do Children Show the Same Pattern of Switch Costs as Older Adults, I ? Compared to Younger Adults, Older Adults show: Much Larger Reaction Time (RT) Costs comparing Mixed Blocks to Single-Task Blocks (i.e., much larger Global Switch Costs than young adults) Similar RT Costs Comparing Switch and Non-Switch Trials (i.e., same Local Switch costs as young adults)

MEAN DIFFERENCE IN ACCURACY

GLOBAL SWITCH COSTS (MIXING COSTS) Mixed-Task Blocks (ABBABAAABB) vs. Single-Task Blocks (AAAAAAAAA) (ALL TRIALS)



Children were correct on fewer trials in Mixed-Blocks than in Single-Blocks. Adults were correct on almost all trials. Even the 11-year-olds showed a greater accuracy cost than adults (t(25) = 4.515, p < .0001). The accuracy global switch cost declined over age (F(7,137) = 6.77, p < .0001).

All of the statements above concerning Mixed Blocks vs. Single-Task Blocks are also true if one compares only the Non-Switch Trials in the Mixed Blocks to trials in the Single-Task Blocks.

LOCAL SWITCH COSTS

Switch Trials (ABBABAAABB) vs. Non-Switch Trials (ABBABAAABB) (All Within Mixed Blocks)



There was a significant cost in accuracy for children at every age but not for adults. Costs decreased continuously over age (F(7,137) = 24.6, p < .0001).However, even by 11 years, children were not yet at adult levels (t(25) = 4.51, p < .0001).

X Children showed much larger RT costs than young adults on Mixed Blocks vs. Single-Tasl Blocks (Global Switch Costs) -- just as is found with older adults (Kray & Lindenberger, 2000; Mayr, 2000; Mayr & Liebscher, submitted).

Children showed similar RT costs to young adults when comparing Switch and Non-Switch **Trials -- just as is found with older adults (***ibid***)**.

' However, we found no Local RT Switch Cost in either children or adults (contrary to the findings of others) perhaps because of our long intervals before and after the cue. **X** Children showed greater Global AND Local Switch Costs in error rate than adults.

Seth Cohen^{1,2}, Marsia Bixenman^{1,3}, Adele Diamond¹ and Nachshon Meiran⁴

Do Children Show the Same Pattern as Older Adults, II?

Compared to Young Adults, Older Adults show: Similar Preparatory Component to Switch Costs Disproportionately Large Residual Component

Since switch costs were no greater at the next-to-the-longest Cue-Target Interval (CTI) than at the longest (800 vs. 2000 msec), those 2 CTIs evidently gave children as much time as they could use to prepare for an upcoming switch. All of the remaining switch cost should be due to the residual component (the cost that remains no matter how long is allotted for active preparation).



(Randomly intermixing CTIs may have minimized differences between way to assess it in children? them in performance (Mayr & Keele, 2000), though the significantly No. higher %correct switch costs at the shortest CTI [200 msec] would Adults show asymptotic accuracy levels; %correct seem to argue against that.) is an insensitive measure for adults.

Even at the longest CTI (2000 msec), children of all ages showed significantly greater switch costs than adults.

Hence, like older adults (Mayr & Keele, 2000), children showed greater residual switch costs than adults.

the neural substrates for task switching. Residual costs are usually thought to reflect that the Patients with prefrontal cortex damage are impaired reconfiguration process cannot be completed until after at task switching (Diedrichsen et al., 2000; Owens the stimulus appears; active preparation can take you et al., 1993). Prefrontal cortex activation increases only so far. Diamond suggests that the reconfiguration on switch trials when the stimulus is relevant to process may be <u>complete</u> before the stimulus appears; different responses on the 2 tasks (Konishi et al., seeing a stimulus relevant to different responses in the 1999a, b; Landau *et al.*, 2001). two tasks may *create* a problem.

Even children of 11 years are not yet at For example, on Zelazo's (1996) card sorting task, adults levels in task switching performance. That 3-year-olds appear to have completed their reconfiguis consistent with the protracted developmental ration (they can tell you what the new criterion is & how timecourse of prefrontal cortex maturation, which to sort by it) yet when the stimulus actually appears, continues past age 11 into early adulthood (Giedd the previously correct dimension grabs their attention et al., 1999; Huttenlocher, 1979, 1990; Huttenlocher & Dabholkar, 1997; Sowell et al., 1999a, b, and they sort according to that (see Kirkham, Cruess, & Diamond, *submitted*). 2001

email: adele.diamond@umassmed.edu

What changes are seen between 5 and 11 years?

No significant difference at any age in performance at 800 and 2000 msec either in speed or accuracy.

X Can children even as young as 5 years switch between tasks? Yes.

5-year-olds were correct on roughly 80% of trials within mixed blocks and over 70% of the switch trials

Children show greater global switch costs than adults.

Just knowing that they will have to switch on the some trials causes children to respond more slowly & make more errors (even on non-switch trials in mixed blocks) relative to their performance on trials in single-task blocks than is seen in adults.

Children show greater local switch costs than adults.

Children make significantly more errors on switch trials than on non-switch trials. Adults do not.

Children show greater residual switch costs than adults.

Even when given as much time as they can use to prepare for a task switch, children make more errors on switch trials than on non-switch trials. Adults do not.

Is the best way to assess task switching in adults (RT), also the best

Children err more; accuracy is a sensitive measure of switch cost for them.

Because children's RTs can be quite variable, & because children often respond impulsively even when they don't know the answer, RT can be a less sensitive measure of switch cost for them than is accuracy.

Prefrontal cortex appears to be one of